



**Department of Computer Science and Engineering Project
Rubrics 2021-22**


Rubrics Used For Evaluation Project Work

Parameter	Allocated Marks	High 20	Medium 10-15	Low 0-8
Review of Literature (Source/Reference :Peer reviewed journals ,books ,conference proceedings , videos , etc.)	20	Literature Review of the project has a proper link to the problem statement made and objectives are clearly defined	Literature Review of the project has a proper link to the problem statement made but objectives are not clearly defined	Literature Review of the project does not have a proper link to the problem statement made and not clear objectives
Problem & Methodology Novelty : a new or unfamiliar or idea Original:	20	The problem statement , scope and objectives are specified with clarity	Moderate study of the Existing systems; Collects some basic information	Problem statements vague; Fails to identify or explain method.
Results and Discussions , Conclusion	20	Provides clear interpretations that emerge from analysis; Draws logical conclusions from discussion ; Identifies and discusses the limitations of the result;	Provides clear interpretations that emerge from analysis ; But there is no logical conclusions from discussion;	Presented results and discussion are inadequate..

<p align="center">Presentation and Demonstration (Content outline , literature review, problem statement objectives and methodology , results and discussion , conclusion,reference)</p>	<p align="center">20</p>	<p>The slides presented are in a specific format and in logical order ; Explanations are clear and are aided with properly formatted figures / charts etc; Contents are properly cited and the references are provided</p>	<p>Contents of presentation are appropriate but not well delivered Not all contents are properly cited;</p>	<p>The team is not able to justify are articulate most of the above criteria ; Slides presented are not in logical order ; Explanations are ambiguous;</p>
<p align="center">Team work and progress</p>	<p align="center">10</p>	<p align="center">High 10</p>	<p align="center">Medium 9-5</p>	<p align="center">Low 0-4</p>
<p>Project Report Format of the report (Introduction , Literature review , Problem definition , Methodology , Design and implementation / Experiment / Simulation , Results and Discussion , Conclusion , References)</p>	<p align="center">10</p>	<p>Formats for text , tables , figures ,charts , etc. is strictly followed; Organization of the content is in logical order with all sections mentioned in the Guidelines ; Explanations are clear with properly placed figures and tables; Contents are Properly cited.</p>	<p>Formats for text , tables , figures ,charts , etc. is strictly followed; Organization of the content is not in logical order with all sections mentioned in the Guidelines ; Explanations are not clear. Contents are not Properly cited.</p>	<p>Formats is not as per standards; Organization not in logical order; Explanation in the report are not clear; Citations are improper.</p>


Mr. Suthan R
Project Coordinator


Prof C.V Shanmukaswamy
HOD, CSE


PRINCIPAL
SIET., TUMAKURU