

SHRIDEVI INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY

Sira Road, Tumkur - 572 106, Karnataka, India.

Phone: 0816 - 2212629 | Principal: 0816 - 2212627, 9686114899 | Telefax: 0816 - 2212628





DepartmentofComputer Science andEngineering Project Rubrics 2021-22

Rubrics Used For Evaluation Project Work

Parameter	Allocate d Marks	High 20	Medium 10-15	Low 0-8
Review of Literature (Source/Reference :Peer reviewed journals ,books ,conference proceedings , videos , etc.)	20	Literature Review of the project has a proper link to the problem statement made and objectives are clearly defined	Literature Review of the project has a proper link to the problem statement made but objectives are not clearly defined	Literature Review of the project does not have a proper link to the problem statement made and not clear objectives
Problem & Methodology Novelty: a new or unfamiliar or idea Original:	20	The problem statement, scope and objectives are specified with clarity	Moderate study of the Existing systems; Collects some basic information	Problem statement s vague; Fails to identify or explain method.
Results and Discussions , Conclusion	20	Provides clear interpretation s that emerge from analysis; Draws logical conclusions from discussion; Identifies and discusses the limitations of the result;	Provides clear interpretation s that emerge from analysis; But there is no logical conclusions from discussion;	Presented results and discussion are inadequat e

Presentation	20	The slides	Contents of	The team is
andDemonstration		presented are	presentation	not able to
(Content outline, literature		in a specific	are appropriate	justify are
review, problem statement		format and in	but not well	articulate
objectives and methodology,		logical order;	delivered Not	most of the
results and discussion,		Explanations	all contents are	above criteria
conclusion,reference)		are clear and	properly cited;	; Slides
		are aided		presented are
West British Co.		with properly	130,000,00	not in logical
		formatted		order;
entered a contract of the		figures /	outstand to	Explanations
a Well-to All The Hall to the		charts etc;		are
Fig. 1 Law and Co. Law		Contents are		ambiguous;
Talloria - Louise Louis - Louis		properly cited	HER SUCTOR	religit.
paradical articles.		and the	ar again aga	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE
		references are	A NE	
		provided		
Team work and progress	10	High	Medium	Low
		10	9-5	0-4
Project Report Format of the	10	Formats for	Formats for	Formats is no
report (Introduction,		text, tables,	text, tables,	as per
Literature review , Problem		figures	figures, charts	standards;
definition, Methodology,		,charts, etc.	, etc. is strictly	Organization
Design and implementation /		is strictly	followed;	not in logical
Experiment / Simulation,		followed;	alamalang an Anni	order;
Results and Discussion,		Organization	Organization	Explanation
Conclusion, References)		of the content	of the content	in the report
		is in logical	is not in	are not clear;
		order with all	logical order	Citations are
		sections	with all	improper.
		mentioned in	sections	
		the	mentioned in	
		Guidelines;	the	
		Explanations	Guidelines;	
		are clear with		
		properly	Explanations	
		placed	are not clear.	
		figures and		
		tables;	Contents	
		Contents are	arenot	
		Properly	Properly cited.	
		cited.	1 ,	

Mr. Suthan R Project Coordinator

PRINCIPAL SIET., TUMAKURU

Prof C.V Shanmukaswamy HOD, CSE